

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

#### NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 463/10

Altus Group Ltd 17327 - 106A Avenue Edmonton AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held between August 23 and October 21, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

| Roll Number    | Municipal Address   | Legal Description             |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10015906       | 18204 111 Avenue NW | Plan: 0420397 Block: 3 Lot: 9 |
| Assessed Value | Assessment Type     | Assessment Notice for:        |
| \$5,306,000    | Annual – New        | 2010                          |

Before: Board Officer:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Dale Doan, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member Segun Kaffo

**Persons Appearing: Complainant** 

Walid Melhem

**Persons Appearing: Respondent** 

Kevin Xu, Assessor Steve Lutes, Law Branch

## **PROCEDURAL MATTERS**

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated no bias with respect to the file.

All parties giving evidence during the proceedings were sworn by the Board Officer.

#### PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The parties agreed that all evidence, submissions and argument on Roll # 8480097 would be carried forward to this file to the extent that matters were relevant to this file. In particular, the Complainant chose not to pursue arguments with respect to the evidence he had provided regarding the income approach to value.

The Complainant and the Respondent presented to the Board differing time adjustment figures for industrial warehouses based on the Complainant's submission that some data used in the preparation of the Respondent's time adjustment model was faulty. The Board reviewed the data from the Complainant used in the preparation of his time adjustment figures and was of the opinion that the data used was somewhat questionable (Exhibit C-2). In any event, the differences between the time adjustment charts used by the parties for industrial warehouses were small and in many cases of little significance. Therefore, the Board has accepted the time adjustment figures used by the Respondent.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The subject property is a warehouse built in 1995 and located in the Edminston Industrial subdivision of the City of Edmonton. The property has a total building area of 30,000 square feet with site coverage of 10% and is used as a trucking terminal.

# **ISSUES**

The Complainant had attached a schedule listing numerous issues to the complaint form. However, most of those issues had been abandoned and the issue left to be decided was as follows:

• Is the assessment of the subject property fair and equitable when compared to similar properties?

### **LEGISLATION**

# The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

**POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT** 

The Complainant presented five equity comparables ranging from \$131.51 to \$169.37 per sq. ft.

with an average of \$165.49 per sq. ft. after adjustments.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent presented fourteen equity comparables ranging in value from \$131.64 to

\$219.70 per sq. ft. including the Complainant's five comparables. The average value of these

comparables was \$175.42 per sq. ft.

**DECISION** 

The decision of the Board is to reduce the current assessment to \$4,961,500 or \$165.49 per sq. ft.

**REASONS FOR THE DECISION** 

The Board is of the opinion that when determining a question of fairness and equity alone, the

assessment equity comparables must meet a high standard of comparability.

The Board reviewed the comparables in entirety as presented by the Respondent. The most similar comparables presented by both parties in terms of location, site coverage, year built and size were in the range of \$163 to \$169 per sq. ft. Adjusting for size and location, it is the Board's

opinion that a value of \$165 per sq. ft. best represents the value of the subject.

**DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS** 

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 4th day of November, 2010, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

\_\_\_\_\_

**Presiding Officer** 

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or

jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board

Vitran Express Canada Inc.

3